

From: [REDACTED]
To: [CHA_Review](#)
Subject: Fw: Queensland Cultural Heritage Legislation
Date: Thursday, 10 March 2022 10:40:59 AM

EXTERNAL SENDER: This email originated from outside the organisation. Please be vigilant with any external email you receive and use caution before responding. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content can be trusted.

I have had this exchange with Lance Sullivan who is a Yalarrnga man. I think it is relevant to the revisions of the Cultural Heritage Act

Iain Davidson, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Lance Sullivan [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 10 March 2022 11:33 AM
To: Iain Davidson [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Queensland Cultural Heritage Legislation

Yes please send it as this is a major problem in our country as in Alice Springs and Tennant creek areas that I know of personally. The dingo sites which travel throughout the deserts are being destroyed and all of us who are associated with these sites are affected not just the people from this area where sites are located locally. When we attend each other's initiations ceremonies we witness the destructions and can not talk about it or have a voice to help protect them because we are not local aboriginals or native title holders. Though the impact is tremendous because we then see a vital part of our initiation ceremonies destroyed and knowledge can not be passed onto the next generations. Even our own corporations ignore us and we believe it is all just about a mob of hens clucking and power.

Lance Sullivan

On Thu., 10 Mar. 2022, 08:18 Iain Davidson, [REDACTED] > wrote:
Lance.

Thanks for your comment. I think that you should send it in to the government. If not I could send it saying that it came from you.

Send to <CHA_Review@dndsatsip.qld.gov.au [CHA_Review@dndsatsip.qld.gov.au]>. I am not sure how many First Nations voices they will hear from, but as I say in my comments it is them they should listen to. And you are right about what the politics of this are. We are all familiar with the developers playing off (and paying off) the group that they know will support them, when more important but less powerful voices are opposed.

Hope you and family are all well.
Iain

Iain Davidson, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[Redacted]

From: Lance Sullivan <[Redacted]>

Sent: Wednesday, 9 March 2022 9:57 PM

To: Iain Davidson [Redacted]

Subject: Re: Queensland Cultural Heritage Legislation

I think there should be also a condition should be made where the elders with senior knowledge should be the voices heard when consultation is being made concerning activity near sites of significance and that elders associated with a site must have been contacted before any work or development in such areas. Usually people with knowledge of sites are overlooked and town or city educated people consulted or a land corporation or native title group this then means other groups or people associated with sites in a cultural sense such as ceremonial obligations are not heard either.

Lance

Lance

On Mon., 7 Mar. 2022, 16:57 Iain Davidson, [Redacted] > wrote:

Please find attached my response to the Options paper about reviewing the Queensland Cultural Heritage Acts.

Best wishes
Iain

Iain Davidson, [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]